WORK IN PROGRESS. NOT EXHAUSTIVE.

1. Choice review or original contribution when writing a paper.
2. One usually discusses all different methods in some detail in the introduction.
3. Introduction has these parts usually:
   1. what is the main contribution of the paper?
   2. a very short review of earlier literature, (you have to embed the paper in the existing literature),
   3. how your approach is novel, what are the advantages compared to existing approaches,
   4. How the rest of the paper is structured,
4. 3-7 keywords after the abstract.
5. Consistent bibliography (use the same type of names (Name + Surname, or perhaps first letters?)
6. When quoting an article and mentioning an author, always write all authors.
7. If you’re referring to a definition, theorem, …, you should use a capital letter: e.g. see Definition 2.2. Same for figures, tables… equations are small letters (eq. (1.1)) and the numbering in between parenthesis [https://libraryguides.vu.edu.au/ieeereferencing/figurestablesequations](https://libraryguides.vu.edu.au/ieeereferencing/figurestablesequations?fbclid=IwAR2nzOBvdd5Q2fsFfDJfQ21_xDUoVbAP6tSKt7lGpsbm6ZiDhGd7R92755w).
8. Actually, never write eq. (1.1) but directly only (1.1), unless it is at the beginning of a sentence, then you write Eq. (1.1).
9. When writing an equation, do not leave a blank AFTER the equation (which creates a tabulation, which you do not want).
10. Do the main claims made in the abstract and introduction accurately reflect the paper’s contributions and scope?
11. Did you describe the limitations of your work?
12. Did you discuss any potential negative societal impacts of your work?
13. Have you read the ethics review guidelines and ensured that your paper conforms to them?
14. Did you state all theoretical results' full set of assumptions?
15. Did you include complete proofs of all theoretical results?
16. Did you include the code, data, and instructions needed to reproduce the main experimental results (either in the supplemental material or as a URL)?
17. Did you specify all the training details (e.g., data splits, hyperparameters, how they were chosen)?
18. Did you report error bars (e.g., with respect to the random seed after running experiments multiple times)?
19. Did you include the total amount of compute and the type of resources used (e.g., type of GPUs, internal cluster, or cloud provider)?
20. If your work uses existing assets, did you cite the creators?
21. Did you mention the license of the assets?
22. Did you include any new assets either in the supplemental material or as a URL?
23. Did you discuss whether and how consent was obtained from people whose data you’re using/curating?
24. Did you discuss whether the data you are using/curating contains personally identifiable information or offensive content?
25. Fontsize should be 10 and Font be Times New Roman.